

Meaningful First Appearance Hearings

Key Elements

- Timeliness
- Meaningful Representation
- Advisement of Rights
- Presumption of Release
- Least-Restrictive Conditions
- Individualization of Conditions
- State Bears the Burden
- Transparency

Timeliness

- Due process requires: If someone is detained after arrest, they are provided with the opportunity to secure their freedom as soon as possible.
- The Supreme Court has held that probable cause hearings must be held within 48 hours of arrest.
 - ✓ This sets a standard for first appearance hearings as well.

Meaningful Representation

- Decisions at first appearance can infringe on—or deprive people of—physical freedom, so assistance from counsel is especially critical.
- This means that it is particularly important for counsel to know their clients—and their cases before representing them in court.

Advisement of Rights

- Due process requires meaningful information about legal rights to be provided at all stages.
- This means providing advice of rights to each person individually—not a colloquy to many simultaneously.
 - Purpose and function of hearing: to review individual circumstances and determine what conditions to impose
 - ✓ De novo determination
 - Right to be heard either directly or through counsel
 - State bears burden of demonstrating that any condition is necessary—and any such must be the least restrictive necessary to assure court appearance and public safety

Presumption of Release

 For the vast majority of people who are legally entitled to pretrial release, how should a judicial officer set conditions?

Remember: Most people will succeed on pretrial release without any conditions other than a promise to return to court and stay out of legal trouble.

Least Restrictive Conditions

- Significant majority of people should be released before trial. And people facing charges are presumed innocent.
- So the presumption in favor of release on recognizance is written into many state court rules.
 - Any restrictive condition of release limits someone's freedom—something the state can rarely do.
 - If a court determines that conditions are necessary, it cannot jump immediately to a condition that could result in someone's detention (like money).

Burden Is Higher for Conditions That Could Result in Detention

- Appellate courts will no longer defer to lower courts' discretion in setting bond if it results in detention.
- Many courts are treating unaffordable bail as akin to a denial of bail altogether.
- This means state must clear a higher evidentiary standard before an unaffordable financial condition is imposed: *clear and convincing* evidence that the unaffordable condition is absolutely necessary to ensure court appearance or public safety.
 - No less restrictive condition or combination of conditions suffice.

Individualization

- Financial conditions can only be imposed after determining what someone can afford.
- Courts: Bond schedules cannot be a default at first appearance.
- Must identify specific risk that the individual poses and be related to mitigating that risk.

State Bears the Burden

- Presumption of ROR—burden is on state to overcome that.
- Clear implication: prosecution makes argument first.
- Burden cannot be on defense to justify client's freedom; must be on prosecution to justify conditions or detention.

Transparency

- Transparency is key, given the stakes of these hearings.
- Decisions—and the reasons for them—should be on the record so that anyone needing to appeal has the ability to do so.